To these questions about the pre-history of religion, however, the Biblical sources furnish few or no answers directly. Only a small proportion of them attained their present form much before 1000 s.c. As we go back before this time the tradition becomes gradually thinner present form much Desires 1000 a.c. As we go back before this time the tradition becomes gradually thinner and for the ancestors of Israel before 1750 or so it has become so lacking in detail and circumstantiality as to make the religious history before this time quite obscure. There is no sign in the OT of any consciousness of a pre-theistic stage, of a time when gods were not yet known, and the texts depict their God as having relations with man from the beginning of history. The question which they do discuss to some extent is not whether He was really a God, but by what name He was known.

In a number of stories and traditions it is possible to suspect or detect a pre-history of the story, which, relating earlier to an older Palestinian desity, has in the course of time been taken over and transferred to the God of the Israelite faith. This is a different matter, however, from a pre-history of the idea of God as such, and will be taken up later. In general, however, and as a point of method, it may be said here that in an article of this type the first purpose will be to explain the story

a point of method, it may be said here that in an article of this type the first purpose will be to explain the story in its Israelite form and not the story in another form which it may have had before; but the previous form will be specially relevant wherever it is needed to explain the peculiarities of the later—but for which peculiarities, it may be added, the existence of a previous form might

not have been detectable.

4. Names of God in the OT,—For convenience we may here gather the more important Divine names of the Hebrew OT; some of the problems of their use must wait for treatment later.

must wait for treatment later.

(e) El.—This is fairly commonly taken to mean power or the strong one, of a Hebrew phrase it is in the power ('el) of my hand to . . . '(e.g. Gn 3129). But it is noticeable that this word and the following (viz. 'ldhim) are both closely similar to common Semitic deictic elements used in demonstratives and similar

deictic elements used in demonstratives and similar particles, so that they may go back to a sense like 'that one' or 'that one there.' This would not necessarily exclude some connexion with 'd' power.'

In any case we find 'd' and its cognates as a general Semitic term for a god, and the plural ildes' the gods 'is frequent in Akkadian. On the other hand we find Ei as the name of a particular deity, and that on Canaanite soil in the Ugaritic literature, where Ei occupies a senior and venerable position among the gods, but is hardly the principal actor in the myths which we nossess.

we possess.

In the OT we may classify usage threefold: (1) El is used for 'God,' i.e. the God of Israel, and occasionally for some other god, e.g. is 4417, and the plural for 'the gods,' as Ex 1511. The word is generally poetical.

(2) In the compound names or titles associated especially (2) In the compound names or titles associated especially with the appearances to the patriarchs at holy places, e.g. El Shadder, Gn 17! (RSV 'God Almighty'), El Roi, Gn 1613 (RSV 'a God of seeing'). In these there is a fair probability that the original reference is to the particular deity El or to special local deities whose designations include his name, and that later the names were taken to refer to the God of Israel. (3) The use in proper names like Eliakim' May 'el raise up' or Ezakiei 'May 'el strengthen.' Though it is possible to argue here for an original reference to the proper name El (e.g. J. Gray, Legacy of Canama, 1957, p. 120), it would seem better to think of a general reference, 'the god,' i.e. the god of this tribe or family, on the analogy of other theophoric elements such as 'the father' or 'the brother.' brother

(b) Elohim, the ordinary Hebrew word for God. For (b) Elohim, the ordinary Hebrew word for God. For derivation see above under El. The word has a plural form, and the singular form "Idah is found in Job frequently and occasionally elsewhere. In syntax the normal plural form is treated as singular for congruence with verbs and adjectives, with few exceptions, where the sense is 'God'; when used of other deities than the God of Israel, as in the phrase 'other gods' it is commonly plural in sense and syntactically treated as

commonly plural in sense and syntactically treated as such.

The plural form has always excited great curiosity, It should not be treated as a discomment of a plurality within the being of God, and has developed rather from the usage of emphasizing the importance of one god by seeming the concentrate within Him the being of all the gods. So we already find in Athadian the plural interest property of the whole divine reals of the concentrate emphasis upon a particular god. But the widespread usage in Hebrew of this plural forms (far esceding the frequency in other Semituc languages) was almost certainty encouraged by the belief in the Israelite God as the only one of significance in Israel and therefore es the sum and total of deity.

There are a few places where we may have to think of Elohim as a realm of class of divine or superhuman beings; these beings are sometimes called 'sons of 'Ilhim' or 'sons of gods,' the word 'son indicating less physical paternity than membership of a group. In Ps 13', for example, man is made a little less than 'Ilhim' or 'sons of gods,' the word 'son indicating less physical paternity than membership of a group. In Ps 13', for example, man is made a little less than the angels, so He 27'.

(c) Yabweh, usually translated into English as 'the Lord.' This is a personal proper name, a fact rather obscured by the usual translation. The Jews in later times ceased to pronounce the name, and used in its place substitutes, such as Adona' ('My Lord'), or 'The Name.' The sacred name is sometimes called the Tetragrammaton consisting in the Hebrew consonants script of the four letters YHWH. The pronunciation is because of the mane Yabs or Yab, e.g. Azarish ("suryaba of 'paternity of the four letters of the mane is sometimes and interdependent. Ex 31s gives